

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/719.341	09/25/96	MANNAVA	S	13DV-12522

QM61/0902

ANDREW C HESS GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY ONE NEUMANN WAY M D H17 CINCINNATI OH 45215-6301

EX	AMINER
VERDIER.C	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 09/02/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks





Advisory Action

Application No. 08/719,341

Applicant(s)

Mannava et al.

Examiner

Christopher Verdier

Group Art Unit 3745

ТН	E PERI	RIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]	
	a) 🗌	expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
	ь) 🔀	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advis is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from rejection.	ory Action, whichever the date of the final
	date or	xtension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date mining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 Clated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	for the purposes of
	Appell period	ellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on	(or within any a).
Ap but	plicant is NO	nt's response to the final rejection, filed on $\underline{Aug\ 10,\ 1998}$ has been considered with the OT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:	following effect,
X	The p	proposed amendment(s):	
	X w	vill be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.	
	□ w	vill not be entered because:	
		they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below	ow).
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).	
		they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing issues for appeal.	g or simplifying the
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected	claims.
	NO	OTE:	
	□ A _I	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
	Newl	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): rly proposed or amended claims would be allowable grate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	e if submitted in a
	Newl separ	rly proposed or amended claims would be allowable	pplication in condition
	Newl separ The a for al	rly proposed or amended claims would be allowable grate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the allowance because:	pplication in condition
	Newly separation and the analytic manner.	would be allowable arate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the allowance because: affidavit does not show evidence of facts establishing diligence from just prior to the effective	pplication in condition e date of the copriate (continued)
⊠	Newlisepar The a for al The a Mann The a	would be allowable arate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the a allowance because: affidavit does not show evidence of facts establishing diligence from just prior to the effective mava '009 reference and ending with the date of reduction to practice. The affidavit is inappressible affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which	pplication in condition e date of the copriate (continued) were newly raised by
⊠	Newly separ The a for all The a Mann The a the E	would be allowable arate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the a allowance because: affidavit does not show evidence of facts establishing diligence from just prior to the effective area '009 reference and ending with the date of reduction to practice. The affidavit is inapproaffidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which examiner in the final rejection. purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if the college of the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if the college of the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if the college of the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if the college of the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if the college of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation).	pplication in condition e date of the copriate (continued) were newly raised by
⊠	Newlisepar The afor al The a Mann. The a the E	would be allowable arate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the a allowance because: affidavit does not show evidence of facts establishing diligence from just prior to the effective anava '009 reference and ending with the date of reduction to practice. The affidavit is inapproaffidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which examiner in the final rejection. purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if	pplication in condition e date of the copriate (continued) were newly raised by any):
⊠	Newl'separ The a for al The a Mann The a the E For p	would be allowable arate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the a allowance because: affidavit does not show evidence of facts establishing diligence from just prior to the effective maya '009 reference and ending with the date of reduction to practice. The affidavit is inapproaffidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which examiner in the final rejection. purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if ms allowed: None	pplication in condition e date of the copriate (continued) were newly raised by any):
⊠	Newl'separ The afor all The athe E	would be allowable arate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the a allowance because: affidavit does not show evidence of facts establishing diligence from just prior to the effective mava '009 reference and ending with the date of reduction to practice. The affidavit is inapproaffidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which examiner in the final rejection. purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if ms allowed: None ms objected to: None	pplication in condition e date of the copriate (continued) were newly raised by any):
IXI□IXI	Newlisepar The afor all The a Mann. The athe E. For p Claim Claim	would be allowable arate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the a allowance because: affidavit does not show evidence of facts establishing diligence from just prior to the effective maya '009 reference and ending with the date of reduction to practice. The affidavit is inapproaffidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which examiner in the final rejection. purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if ms allowed: None ms objected to: None ms rejected: 1-20	pplication in condition e date of the copriate (continued) were newly raised by any):
X	Newl'separ The afor all The a Mann. The athe E. For p Claim Claim The p	would be allowable arate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the a allowance because: affidavit does not show evidence of facts establishing diligence from just prior to the effective mava '009 reference and ending with the date of reduction to practice. The affidavit is inapport affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which examiner in the final rejection. purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if ms allowed: None ms objected to: None ms rejected: 1-20 proposed drawing correction filed on	pplication in condition e date of the copriate (continued) were newly raised by any):

ART UNIT 3745